

163

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-12619-2022

Date of Decision: 01.06.2022

Amit Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ishaan Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner had applied for various posts, which were advertised by the respondents vide advertisement No.12/2019, 20.07.2019, but despite the fact that the petitioner is fully eligible for the appointment on the said posts, the respondents are not accepting the relevant documents, so as to adjudge the eligibility of the petitioner for being appointed on the said advertised posts.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the documents have been submitted by the petitioner with the respondents, but the said documents are not being taken into consideration by the respondents for considering the claim for appointment of the petitioner against the said advertised posts, hence the respondents be directed to take into account the documents, which have been submitted by the petitioner with them, so as to adjudge his eligibility for the appointment on the said advertised posts.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the

petitioner has already raised the same grievance before the respondents by filing representation dated 08.04.2022 (Annexure P-23) and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage, in case a time bound direction is given to respondent No.3 to decide the representation dated 08.04.2022, by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Raman Kumar Sharma, Additional A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the State and raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 08.04.2022 (Annexure P-23) in a time bound manner.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of this case and without going into merits of the claim as raised by the petitioner in the present case as well as in the representation dated 08.04.2022 (Annexure P-23), this petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.3 to pass an appropriate speaking order on the representation dated 08.04.2022 (Annexure P-23), filed by the petitioner, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the copy of this order.

01.06.2022*Apurva***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
2. Whether reportable : No