

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.132

CWP No.12531 of 2022

Date of Decision: 01.06.2022

Kalu Ram Aggarwal

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Gaagan Pradeep Singh Bal, Advocate for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that the service which the petitioner has rendered in M.R.College, Fazilka, has not been taken into account as a qualifying service for the purpose of computing his pensionary benefits.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is entitled for the grant of benefit keeping in view the settled principles of law and the reliance is being placed upon the order passed by this Court in **CWP No.4286 of 2003** titled **Ashok Kumar Garg and others versus State of Punjab and others,** decided on 24.08.2018.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and submits that the petitioner has never raised the said grievance before the authority concerned before approaching this Court. In case, the petitioner approaches the respondents for the redressal of his grievance by way of filing a representation, an appropriate order will be passed on the said representation within a period of 8 weeks from receipt of the same.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, he may be allowed to withdraw the present petition with liberty to approach the respondents for the redressal of his grievance as raised in this petition.

Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

01.06.2022
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No