

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

239

CRM-M-46536-2018 (O & M)

Date of Decision: 21.03.2022

JAGROOP SINGH

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Imran Ahmad Ali, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Prabhjot Singh Walia, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Tushar Madaan, Advocate for

Mr. Raj Sunder Singh, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

Instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for issuance of an appropriate order or direction quashing the impugned FIR No.150 dated 08.12.2017 under Section 498-A of IPC, 1860, registered at Police Station City, Nawanshahar, District Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar, Annexure P-1, on the basis of the statement of the complainant recorded on 01.10.2018, Annexure P-2, before the Family Court, Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar.

Pursuant to order dated 20.01.2020 passed by this Court, report has been received from the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar, the relevant extract of which is as under:-

“(i) The complainant in this case is Harjot Kaur and accused Jagroop Singh (husband of complainant) has been arrayed as accused in the present case, whereas Kulwinder Singh (father-in-law), Paramjit Kaur (mother-in-law) and Navjeet Kaur (sister-in-law) were declared innocent during the enquiry proceedings and their names

were mentioned in column no.2 of the report under Section 173 Cr.P.C., filed by the police. Harjot Kaur complainant appeared in the Court and has suffered statement that she has not effected any compromise with accused Jagroop Singh. Accused Jagroop Singh did not appear before the Court for making the statement.

(ii) Accused Jagroop Singh has been declared as proclaimed offender on 29.07.2019.

(iii) The case is pending for recording statements of prosecution witnesses under Section 299 Cr.P.C.

(iv) The complainant appeared before the Court and her statement was recorded. The statement of complainant shows that the compromise has not been effected between the parties.”

In view of the above report, there is no merit in the petition,
which is ordered to be dismissed.

21.03.2022
sheetal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No