

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

204

**CRM-M-24768-2022
Date of decision :17.08.2022**

Bhura Singh

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Addl. A. G. Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

The prayer in the present petition filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C., is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in a case FIR No.52 dated 20.02.2022, under Section 307, 34 IPC 1860 and under Sections 25,27, 54, 59 Arms Act 1959, registered at Police Station Kalanwali, District Sirsa.

Contentions on behalf of the petitioner, noted while issuing notice of motion on 01.06.2022, reads as under:-

- “1. Notice of motion.*
- 2. Mr. Pardeep Prakash Chahar, DAG, Haryana, waives service on behalf of the respondent-State, and, is permitted to file reply, and, status report on or before the next date of hearing.*
- 3. List on 17.8.2022.*
- 4. In the meantime, and, till further orders, the bail applicant petitioner may not be arrested, by the arresting officer. However, subject to the bail applicant-petitioner furnishing personal and surety bonds in the sum of Rs. 25,000/- each, to the satisfaction of the arresting officer. The bail applicant shall also give an*

undertaking before the arresting officer, that as and when he is summoned through a written Hukamnama, he shall ensure his rendering his cooperation to the investigating officer.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in compliance of the aforesaid order, the petitioner has joined the investigation to the entire satisfaction of the Investigating Officer.

Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Ram Niwas, states that in view of the order dated 01.06.2022, petitioner has already joined the investigation and is no more required for further investigation of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances as above but without commenting upon or expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed. Consequently, order dated 01.06.2022, is made absolute. Needless to say, the petitioner shall comply with the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) of Cr.P.C.

August 17, 2022
anil

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No