

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

208

**CRM-M-24901-2022
Decided on : 09.09.2022**

Manjeet Singh Bajwa

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State UT Chandigarh and another

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. J.S. Saneta, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Shashank Bhandari, Addl. PP, UT Chandigarh.

None for respondent No.2 – complainant.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Cr.P.C., seeking grant of anticipatory bail in complaint case No. CNR No. 724/2006, titled as, “Kulwant Kaur Vs. Manjit Singh Bajwa and another”, dated 29.07.2006 (Annexure P-1), under Sections 447, 451, 506, 120-A of IPC, but summoned under Section 442 read with Section 506 of IPC, vide summoning order dated 13.10.2006 (Annexure P-2) and protection against proclamation proceedings dated 06.12.2017 (Annexure P-5).

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of the order dated 01.06.2022, passed by this Court, apart from depositing of cost amounting to Rs.50,000/- with District Legal Services Authority, UT Chandigarh, petitioner has surrendered before the trial Court and has been admitted to interim bail by the trial Court.

Learned Addl. PP, UT Chandigarh, submits that since it is private complaint, therefore, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

Moreover, on behalf of respondent No.2 – complainant, no one is appearing for the last two dates.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Since the petitioner has complied with the order dated 01.06.2022 of this Court *in toto*, and has been admitted to interim bail by the trial Court, and his custodial interrogation is not required, the present petition is allowed and the order dated 01.06.2022 is made absolute.

Petition is disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

September 09, 2022

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No