

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

201

CRM-M-27438-2021 (O&M)

Date of decision : 07.04.2022

Baljinder Kaur

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Mr. Gaurav Singla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. A. K. Khurana, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate, for the complainant.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

CRM-9680 of 2022

This application has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., for placing on record Annexure R-1 to R-9 and exemption from filing certified copies of the same.

Application is allowed subject to all just exceptions.

Documents Annexure R-1 to R-9 are taken on record.

CRM-M-27438-2021

The prayer in the instant petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.47 dated 07.06.2021 for the offence under Sections 306, 506 IPC, registered at Police Station Fatehgarh Churian, Police District Batala and for directions to the S.H.O/Investigating officer P.S. Fatehgarh Churian, Police District Batala to not arrest the petitioner in the matter.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of petitioner submits that pursuant to the interim order dated 16.07.2021, the petitioner has already joined the investigation and is no longer required for the purpose of

investigation in the case.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Varinder Singh reiterates the facts and submits that petitioner has already joined the investigation and is no longer required for the purpose of investigation in the case.

Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate, appearing on behalf of respondent-complainant vehemently opposed the petition and places reliance upon the documents along with the petition as Annexure R-1 to R-9. He has argued that on the strength of the said documents, the participation of the petitioner in commission of offence is evident and that such a concession should not be enlarged to the petitioner. However, he could not dispute that police does not require custodial interrogation of the petitioner. No purpose is likely to be served by directing custodial detention of the petitioner.

In view of the above, the interim order dated 16.07.2021 is made absolute.

The instant petition is disposed of accordingly.

07.04.2022
anil

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No