

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

145

**CWP-12639-2022 (O & M)
Date of Decision: June 01, 2022**

RAHUL YADAV

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Hardeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been directed to appear in the enquiry against him without furnishing him the documents including the enquiry report.

A perusal of the petition indicates that the enquiry had been conducted against him and thereafter he was afforded a personal hearing. The petitioner was asked for a copy of the enquiry report vide order dated 10.11.2021 and in response thereto the respondents have asked him to attend the office of the Complaint and Enquiry Branch at HSAM Board, Panchkula on 16.12.2021.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, however, submits that the petitioner had gone to the office but he has not been furnished a copy of the enquiry report.

Issue notice to the respondents.

At the asking of the Court, Dr. Kapil Bansal, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

It is necessary and in consonance with principles of natural justice that the respondents furnish a copy of the enquiry report to the petitioner to enable him to file his response thereto in his defence.

Consequently, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to furnish a copy of the enquiry report within a period of 15 days. The petitioner shall file his response within a period of two months thereafter and the same would be considered and a speaking order be passed in accordance with law.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

June 01, 2022
A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No