

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

208

**CRM-M-24977-2022
Decided on : 07.06.2022**

Parvinder Kumar

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

PRESENT: Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Akhil Aggarwal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C., seeking concession of bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 37, dated 08.04.2022, lodged under Sections 406, 420 of IPC, registered at Police Station Kotwali Nabha (annexed as Annexure P-1).

Learned counsel *inter alia* contends that it was essentially a money dispute between the parties, which now stands amicably resolved and settled between them vide compromise dated 26.05.2022 (Annexure P-2). He submits that the challan has not been presented, as investigation is still underway.

Mr. Akhil Aggarwal, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 and filed his power of attorney, same is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions. Office to tag the same at appropriate place.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 does not dispute the

submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner. He concedes that the parties have amicably resolved all their disputes. He does not oppose the prayer made by the petitioner for extending the concession of bail to him.

Learned State counsel has filed the custody certificate of the petitioner, in Court today. Same is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions. Office to tag the same at appropriate place. As per the said custody certificate the petitioner is not shown to be involved in any other criminal case, much less, a case of similar nature. The petitioner has been in custody for two months having been arrested on 09th April, 2022.

Heard.

In the facts and circumstances as enumerated hereinabove, this Court deems it fit to extend the concession of bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial, as his further incarceration would serve no useful purpose. The petition is allowed and the petitioner is admitted to bail to the satisfaction of the Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned. However, it is made clear that any observation made here-in-above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

June 07, 2022

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*