

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.145

CWP No.12593 of 2022

Date of Decision: 01.06.2022

Ranjit Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Nonish Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner had filed an application on 07.07.2020 (Annexure P-1) for grant of permit on the route Jandiala Guru to Amritsar via Devidaspur, Wadala Johal, Malkpur, Nangal Dayal Singh, Jand, Talwandi, Manawala, Daburji with respondent No.3, but the same has been kept pending and not decided and that too without any valid justification.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance before the respondents by serving upon them a legal notice dated 15.03.2022 (Annexure P-7), which is pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said legal notice by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and raises no objection in deciding the legal notice dated 15.03.2022 (Annexure P-7) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the legal notice dated 15.03.2022 (Annexure P-7), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to decide the legal notice dated 15.03.2022 (Annexure P-7) within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

01.06.2022
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No