

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

102

CWP-16799-2008

Date of decision:30.08.2022

J.S. Yadav

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU
CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Present: Mr. R.K. Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanisha Peshawaria, DAG, Haryana
for respondent No.1-State.

Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate
for respondents No. 2 and 3.

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

Present petition has been filed seeking directions to respondents to allot plot to the petitioner as per the oustee's policy.

Mr. Sabherwal, learned counsel for respondents No. 2 and 3 states that the petitioner has never applied in pursuance to the public advertisements issued from time to time including the one in the year, 2018 for allotment of plots to oustees. He further states that as and when a fresh advertisement is issued, it would be open to the petitioner to apply for the same and his case would be considered as per the policy. He also states that any amount that may have been deposited by the petitioner while applying for an oustees quota plot would be returned to him alongwith interest @ 5.5% as per the policy if it has not already been

refunded. To claim the refund the petitioner would have to intimate the respondents the details whereby the amount was deposited.

Disposed of.

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

30.08.2022
rashmi

- | | |
|---------------------------------|----------|
| 1. Whether reportable? | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reasoned / speaking? | Yes / No |