

113

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-27905-2021 (O&M)  
Date of Decision:04.07.2022**

Boota Ram

**.. Petitioner**

**Vs.**

State of Punjab and others

**..Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ**

Present: Mr. N.S. Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, DAG, Punjab.

...

**Manoj Bajaj, J. (Oral)**

The petitioner has filed this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. praying for directions to conduct fair and transparent investigation in case FIR No.0025 dated 29.01.2021, registered under Section 346 IPC, 1860, at Police Station Patran, District Patiala (Annexure P-1) and further for addition of Section 302 IPC, as he suspects involvement of private respondents No.5 to 8 in the alleged crime of murder of his son Sunil Kumar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that on 24.01.2021, the petitioner had dropped his son at bus-stop Shutrana, where he usually used to work at a furniture shop, but neither his son returned home nor his mobile phone was reachable. According to the learned counsel, the petitioner had visited the police station and informed the respondent No.4 about missing of his son and expressed suspicion upon

private respondents No.5 to 8 with whom his son had been playing cricket. He submits that as no action has been taken against the accused persons by police, therefore, the interference is warranted by this Court by exercise of inherent powers under Section 482 Cr.P.C. He prays for issuance of necessary directions.

During the course of hearing, it is not disputed by learned counsel that the dead body of the victim was recovered on 30.01.2021 and as per the post mortem report (Annexure P-2), the victim died of drowning.

Learned State counsel assisted by ASI Jaswinder Singh, has referred to status report dated 30.04.2022 filed by way of affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Rachhpal Singh, PPS, DSP, Circle Patran, Patiala, wherein it is mentioned that considering the allegations made by the complainant, the FIR was registered on 29.01.2021 and for the first time suspicion upon private respondent was expressed by petitioner on 09.03.2021 by giving a supplementary statement. He submits that the respondents No.5 to 8 were associated in the investigation, but nothing incriminating has been found against them so far, and the investigation in the case is still going on.

After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and considering the above background, this Court finds that the FIR (Annexure P-1) was lodged on 29.01.2021 and admittedly, none of the private respondents was named therein and the suspicion was expressed upon private respondents on 09.03.2021. The subsequent version of petitioner has already been examined by police, but as the investigation has already reached at an advanced stage, therefore, considering the background of this case, this Court does not find it to be a fit case for exercise of inherent

powers under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

Petition is dismissed.

04.07.2022  
*Jasmine Kaur*

(MANOJ BAJAJ)  
JUDGE

|                           |     |    |
|---------------------------|-----|----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes | No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes | No |