

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

234

CRM-M-25349-2022

Date of Decision: 23.11.2022

Sushil Malik

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA

Present: - Mr. Saransh Sabharwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

ASHOK KUMAR VERMA, J. (ORAL)

At the outset, learned counsel for the State submits that the instant petition has been rendered infructuous and may be disposed of, as such, because the main complaint has already been withdrawn by respondent No. 2-complainant.

In view of the above, the instant petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

November 23, 2022

rishu

**(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No