

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

273

**CRM-M-26972-2021 (O&M)**

**Date of decision: 19.07.2022**

**LAKHWINDER SINGH @ LAKHVIR SINGH @ BHOLU**

....Petitioner(s)

**Versus**

**STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER**

...Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ**

\*\*\*\*\*

Present : Mr. Ankit Rana, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karanbir Singh, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Rajeev Sharma (Raju) Advocate  
for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*\*\*

**VINOD S. BHARDWAJ. J. (ORAL)**

By means of the instant petition, the jurisdiction of this Court under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure has been invoked for seeking quashing of FIR No.105 dated 15.05.2021 under Section 420 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 13 of the Punjab Travel Professional Regulation Act, 2014 registered at Police Station Tanda and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 03.06.2021 (Annexure P-2) entered between the parties.

The parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate vide order dated 15.07.2021 of this Court, to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at between the parties and a report in this regard was called for.

Pursuant to the said order, report has been received from the Judicial Magistrate First Class, Dasuya vide Memo No.333 dated 18.07.2022. The

relevant extract of the report is reproduced as under:-

*“(1) There is only one accused by name Lakhwinder Singh @ Lakhvir Singh @ Bholu who was arrayed in FIR No.105 dated 15.05.2021 and accused is not declared proclaimed offender in the present case.*

*(2) The name of complainant in the present case is Surjit Singh s/o Dhanna Ram and Resham son of Veeru Ram. Besides them Kuldeep Kaur w/o Balwinder Singh, Kashmir Kaur w/o Resham Singh, Avtar Singh s/o Swaran Singh, Saroj Kumar s/o Prem Chand, Lekh Raj s/o Swaran Chand, Kamaljit Singh s/o Balvir Singh, Kamaljit Kaur w/o Hardial Singh, Sukhdev Singh s/o Biru Ram, Kulwinder Kaur w/o Surjit Singh, Harwinder Singh s/o Gurmit Singh, Kuldeep Singh s/o Rattan Singh, Sarabjit Kaur w/o Balwinder Singh, Manmohan Singh s/o Kuldeep Singh, Gurparshad Singh s/o Narain Singh, Gurmeet Kaur w/o Tilak Raj are also aggrieved persons. However, only Surjit Singh s/o Dhanna Ram, Avtar Singh s/o Swaran Singh and Gurmeet Kaur w/o Tilak Raj came to record their statements.*

*(3) From the statements of parties, compromise appears to be genuine, voluntarily and without coercion and undue influence within the appellant parties.*

*(4) That no other criminal case is pending against the accused person except this.”*

A perusal of the aforesaid report shows that there are various other injured persons in the present case apart from the complainant. The said injured persons have not been impleaded as party and evidently there is no settlement between the petitioner along with other injured persons whose statements have been recorded by the investigating agency.

In this view of the matter, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks

permission to withdraw to instant petition with liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh petition as and when the settlement is arrived at with all the accused/injured/victims.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as aforesaid.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)  
JUDGE

July 19, 2022

S.Sharma(syr)

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes/No |