

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

129

CRM-M-24726-2022
Decided on : 01.06.2022

Suresh Kumar and another

. . . Petitioners

Versus

Satpal Singh

. . . Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. A. S. Shergill, Advocate for
Mr. Navjot Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of the complaint bearing No. COMI/22/2018 dated 06.04.2018 under Sections 419, 420, 465, 466, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 as well as the summoning order dated 16.03.2022.

Learned counsel for the petitioners, after arguing for some time, seeks to withdraw the present petition with liberty to take up all the points which have been raised in the the present petition, during the course of trial.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has further submitted that the petitioner No. 2 is only a signatory of the alleged rent agreement and is not a beneficiary and prays that his personal appearance may be exempted before the trial Court.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the afor esaid liberty.

In the meantime, the personal appearance of petitioner No. 2 before the trial Court is exempted, subject to the following conditions:-

- i) Petitioner shall be represented through his/her counsel;*
- ii) shall not delay/stall the trial proceedings;*
- iii) shall not dispute his identity as accused;*
- iv) shall have no objection if the prosecution evidence is recorded in his absence but in the presence of his/her counsel;*
- v) shall appear before the trial Court as and when required by the trial Court;*
- vi) any other condition which the learned trial Court may impose.*

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

June 1st, 2022
Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No