

125

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-12428-2022
Date of decision: 01.06.2022

PARVINDER KAUR AND ANR

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Shakti Mehta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This is a petition that has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to consider the case of petitioner No.2 for appointment as a Constable on compassionate ground on account of death of her father. It is pleaded that the case for appointment has already been sent by respondent No.2 to respondent No.1 for necessary permission vide letter dated 25.01.2021 (Annexure P-3).

Learned counsel for the petitioners herein submits that there is already a recommendation made for appointing petitioner No.2 as Lady Constable on compassionate grounds. This decision was taken as far back as on 25.01.2021 (Annexure P-3), however, despite the said recommendation, the necessary appointment letter has not been issued so far.

At this stage, counsel for the petitioners limits his prayer to the extent that the legal-cum-demand notice dated 18.04.2022 (Annexure P-6),

served upon the respondents should be decided expeditiously. He would submit that the petitioners would be satisfied in case the said legal-cum-demand notice is decided in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Deepali Puri, Addl. A. G., Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State. Let sufficient number of copies of the complete paper book be supplied to her during the course of day.

Without commenting on merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal-cum-demand notice dated 18.04.2022 (Annexure P-6) of the petitioners within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order, in accordance with law and convey the decision to the petitioners. In case of failure to do so, the officer responsible for the lapse would be liable to costs of Rs.20,000/- from his personal pocket, to be deposited with the Punjab and Haryana High Court Advocates Welfare Fund.

01.06.2022
Chetan Thakur

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No