

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-12602-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision : 01.06.2022**

Rakesh Kumar

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. P.L. Verma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution, *inter alia*, for directing the respondent(s) to grant annual increment to the petitioner from 2012 onwards.

Learned counsel, on instructions from the petitioner, submits that instead of pressing the writ petition on merits at this stage, his client would be satisfied in case, legal notice dated 10.04.2022 (P-2) is decided expeditiously.

Notice of motion to that limited extent.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. A.K. Sehrawat, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Learned State counsel raises no objection against the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without adjudicating the controversy on merits, writ petition is disposed off with

direction to respondent No.1-Financial Commissioner & Secretary, Department of Education, Haryana, to consider and decide the aforesaid legal notice dated 10.04.2022 (P-2), in accordance with law, within a period of 04 (four) months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Needless to say that in case there is a failure on the part of competent authority, petitioner would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for revival of this writ petition and the same shall be considered as per law.

01.06.2022*atulsethi***(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)****JUDGE**

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No