

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

126

CRM-M-24570-2022

Date of Decision: August 09, 2022

Harmeet Kaur

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr.Vipin Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner(s)

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Notices served upon the official respondent(s) through the State's counsel.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions from ASI Rajender submits that during the pendency of the present petition, charges have been framed vide order dated 28.03.2022 whereas a perusal of the present petition reveals that the petitioner has not challenged the said order.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present petition with the liberty to file fresh petition challenging the order of framing charges. It is clarified that time spent in adjudication of the present petition in this Court should not be included for the purpose of limitation.

Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of as withdrawn with the aforementioned liberty.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

August 09, 2022
sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	No