

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(266)

CRWP-5248-2022

Date of decision: - 11.07.2022

Neelu

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

Present Criminal Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Habeas Corpus directing respondents No.2 and 3 to produce the detenue, as named in the headnote of the present petition, who is stated to be the daughter of the present petitioner.

On 27.05.2022, this Court was pleased to pass the following order: -

“Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the only reason why the present petition has been filed is not to take any action against the private respondents or the detenue but is only to enable the petitioner to meet her daughter.

Notice of motion for 11.07.2022.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Mohinder Singh, has submitted that after filing of the present petition, the petitioner

had given a statement before the police on 10.07.2022 to the effect that her daughter, who is the alleged detenue, as named in the headnote of the present petition, has returned back to her house and there is no grievance left and the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

None has appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

In view of the stand of the respondent-State, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

It is made clear that in case, any cause survives, it would be open to the petitioner to file a fresh petition, in accordance with law.

July 11, 2022
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes
No