

CRWP-5254-2022

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-5254-2022

Date of Decision: 31.05.2022

Damanpreet Kaur and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Union Territory, Chandigarh and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Deepak K. Bartia, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J.(Oral)

This petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 6.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondent Nos.4 to 6 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger at the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 6. The certificate and photographs evidencing their marriage, are on record as Annexure P-4 and P-5, respectively. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 26.05.2022 (Annexure P-6) to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh, for redressal of their grievance.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3-State only, at this stage.

CRWP-5254-2022

On the asking of this Court, Mr. Amit Kumar Goyal, APP, U.T. Chandigarh, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3, and submits that respondent No.4-father of petitioner No.1 has got his statement recorded to ASI Kulwant Singh, to the effect that he had no objection to the aforesaid marriage solemnized by the petitioners. The statement of respondent No.4-Balbir Singh is taken on record as Mark-A.

Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the present petition with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh, to decide the representation dated 26.05.2022 (Annexure P-6) moved by the petitioners, and grant protection to them, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

31.05.2022

parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
	Whether reportable	:	Yes/No