

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

251

CRR-3219-2011 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 27.09.2022

RAM KAMAL AND ANR

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Rajesh Goyal, Advocate for
Mr. Pritam Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Subhash Godara, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J.(Oral)

Challenge is to the order dated 19.11.2011 passed by the learned Special Judge, Rupnagar, vide which the petitioners have been charged under Section 22 of the NDPS Act and Section 27 of the Drugs and Cosmetic Act, 1940.

At the outset, learned State counsel, on the instructions from ASI Ram Kumar submits that vide judgment and order dated 14.03.2016, the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ropar, has convicted the petitioners.

Upon this, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners, on the instructions of the arguing counsel, does not press the present petition.

Dismissed as not pressed.

27.09.2022

Aman Jain

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether reportable : *Yes/No*