

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.26835 of 2021
Date of Decision: 12.09.2022**

Sandhya	Versus	...Petitioner
State of Punjab		...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Akhilesh Vyas, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Gupta, AAG, Punjab,
for the respondent-State.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (Oral)

The petitioner herein has sought the relief of regular bail in the criminal case arisen out of the FIR bearing No.82 dated 01.04.2021 registered at Police Station Sadar Amritsar, District Amritsar, under Sections 302, 506, 148, 149 IPC and Sections 25 & 27 of the Arms Act, 1959, with the allegations that she and her co-accused formed an unlawful assembly and they were armed with weapons like pistols and *kirpan* etc and they caused fatal injuries to victim Lata, the mother of informant-Parhlad Kumar @ Pappal.

2. An affidavit of the Investigating Officer named SI Jagjit Singh has already been filed in compliance of the order dated 22.07.2021. Learned State Counsel has submitted the custody-certificate of the petitioner in the Court today and it has also been placed on the file.

3. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel in the present petition and have also perused the file carefully.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is behind the bars since 02.04.2021 and the Challan has been presented and the charges have also been framed in the case and moreover, the petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case of the similar nature and even otherwise, no injury on the person of the afore-named deceased, has been attributed to her (petitioner) nor she has been alleged to be carrying any weapon at the time of the alleged occurrence and in these circumstances, she (petitioner) deserves the relief as prayed for in this petition.

5. Learned State counsel does not dispute the afore-referred factual position.

6. Keeping in view the above-discussed facts and circumstances as well as the fact that the trial of the case is likely to take sufficient time to conclude and without commenting or expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petitioner named Sandhya is ordered to be released on regular bail subject to her furnishing the requisite personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

7. The instant petition stands allowed accordingly.

12.09.2022
neetu/seema

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No