

237 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-12290-2022

Date of Decision: 31.05.2022

Roop Chand

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Gaurav Tyagi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the case of the petitioner is covered by the judgment of the Division Bench of this Court passed in **CWP-19641-2009**, titled as ***“R.K. Aggarwal and others vs State of Haryana and others”***, decided on 21.12.2012, but the said benefits have not been granted to the petitioner by the respondents only on the ground that the petitioner was not a party to the aforesaid writ petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further argues that once the petitioner is similarly situated as the petitioners in ***R.K. Aggarwal's case*** (supra), the respondents are under an obligation to grant the similar benefits to the petitioner as well keeping in view the judgment passed by the Division Bench of this Court in **CWP-4382-2002** titled as ***Satbir Singh Vs. State of Haryana***, decided on 21.03.2002.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance before the respondents by filing a representation dated 07.03.2022 (Annexure P-10), which is still pending

Consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied at this

stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the said representation dated 07.03.2022, by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Raman Kumar Sharma, Additional A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the State and raises no objection, in case prayer made hereinbefore on behalf of the petitioner for deciding the representation dated 07.03.2022 (Annexure P-10) in a time bound manner is accepted.

Without commenting upon the merits of the present case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the present case or in representation dated 07.03.2022 (Annexure P-10), filed by him, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the said representation dated 07.03.2022 (Annexure P-10) within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of the copy of this order. After passing the said speaking order in case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for the grant of any monetary benefits, the said benefits be paid to the petitioner within a period of four weeks thereafter.

31.05.2022*Apurva***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No