

102.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CM-9934-CWP-2022 IN/AND
CWP-12130-2022**

Date of Decision: 15.07.2022

DEV RAJ SINGH RAGHAV

.... Petitioner

Versus

DAKSHIN HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate, and
Mr. Shiv Kr. Rana, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. B.R. Mahajan, Senior Advocate, with
Mr. Ashish Yadav, Advocate, for respondents No.1 to 3.

JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)

CM-9934-2022

This is an application that has been filed under Section 151 CPC for proponing the date of hearing of the main case, which is listed for 29.11.2022.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, same is allowed. The hearing of the main case is preponed and the same is taken on board today itself.

CWP-12130-2022

This is a petition that has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing respondents No.1 to 3 to provide electricity connection for agricultural use to the petitioner.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner would submit that the petitioner has already submitted an application on 15.07.2021, but as on date no electricity connection has been provided, which is causing a huge financial loss to the petitioner. Counsel would further submit that the petitioner would be satisfied in case the said application is decided expeditiously.

At this stage, learned counsel for respondents No. 1 to 3 seeks time to file reply.

In view of the limited prayer made for the decision of the application for grant of electricity connection, this Court does not deem it appropriate to allow any time to the respondents No. 1 to 3 for filing the reply. However, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the official respondents to decide the said application that is already pending with them since 15.07.2021. Respondents are hereby directed to decide the said application within a period of four weeks from today as they are already on notice, in accordance with law and convey the decision to the petitioner. In case of failure to do so, the officer responsible for the lapse would be liable to costs of Rs.20,000/- from his personal pocket, to be deposited with the Punjab and Haryana High Court Advocates Welfare Fund.

15.07.2022

sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE