

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-24611-2022
Date of decision: 01.06.2022**

Jagtar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Rahul Kumar Adia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.01 dated 01.01.2022 registered under Sections 341, 147, 186, 332, 353, 506, 333, 149 and 180 of the IPC at Police Station Narwana City, District Jind.

The anticipatory bail application of co-accused Sonu was dismissed by this Court on 30.05.2022 by passing a detailed order, the operative part of which reads as under:

“Counsel for the petitioner has argued that as per the allegations in the FIR, registered at the instance of EASI Iqbal Singh that on 01.01.2022, he along with HC Sanjay and Constable Satish, Police Station Gahri had gone to Civil Hospital, Narwana, in connection with a proceeding under Section 174 Cr.P.C. regarding the death of one Gurtar son of Dev. The statement of the brother of Gurtar namely Jagtar was recorded and the information was sent to the Police Station through Constable Satish. Thereafter, he was busy in further investigation along with Constable Sanjay and Satish and in the meantime, many persons including the ladies and family of Gurtar Singh, in which some of them were

named including the petitioner came at the spot and started having scuffled with the complainant and in that process, they have torn his uniform. Thereafter, the complainant ran away from the spot to save himself but he was overpowered by the mob and again they caused him injuries and threatened to kill him. However later on, he managed to escape and got himself medico legally examined and thereafter, the present FIR was registered under Sections 147, 149, 186, 323, 332, 341, 353, 506 IPC.

Later on during the investigation, the police inspected the place and recorded the statement of the witnesses and also obtained the CCTV footage as well as X-ray report of Iqbal Singh in which injury No.2 was declared grievous in nature and Sections 333 and 180 IPC were added while Section 323 IPC stands deleted and during the investigation, some of the accused were arrested and one Rohtash made a disclosure statement regarding his involvement and involvement of the petitioner. It is also contended that there are no specific allegations against the petitioner.

Counsel for the State however, opposed the prayer for bail on the ground that the petitioner and others have not only restrained the police officials to perform their official duties, who are busy in the proceeding under Section 174 Cr.P.C. regarding the death of one Gurtar Singh but also obstructed them and torn their uniforms and even caused injuries and as per the MLR of the victim, injury No.2 was found to be grievous in nature.

After hearing the counsel for the parties, considering the serious allegations against the petitioner, no ground for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made out.

Dismissed. ”

Heard.

The allegations against the present petitioner are identical to that of aforesaid co-accused that he was one of the assailants, who attacked the police officials causing grievous injuries to them.

Accordingly, no ground to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made out.

Dismissed.

01.06.2022

Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No