

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

118

CRM-M-24681-2022

Date of Decision: August 23, 2022

Ramesh Sanka

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr.Mansur Ali, Advocate and
Mr. Hemant Bassi, Advocate for the petitioner(s)

Ms. Shubhra Singh, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Aashish Gupta Chopra, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Anirudh Sharma, Advocate;
Mr. Rahul Gautam, Advocate and
Mr. Gagandeep Singh, Advocate for respondent No.2

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed seeking following reliefs:-

1. that part of the order dated 24.9.2021 (Annexure P-7) passed by Ld. JMIC Gurugram in proceeding arising from Arising From FIR No 58 dated 30.03.2020 registered at Police Station Sector 65, Gurugram under sections 381,409 IPC may kindly be set aside to the extent the production of certain documents has been declined,
2. that the order dated 24.9.2021 (Annexure P-7) may kindly be modified to the extent that the application under section 91 Cr.P.C. (Annexure P-6) filed by the petitioner may kindly be allowed in entirety including those withheld by the police,
3. that all documents mentioned in the application under section 91 Cr PC. (Annexure P-6) may kindly be directed to be produced by the police.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties at length, the only order that would suffice the purpose is that trial Court is requested to summon entire documents which were part of the enquiry report dated 14.07.2018.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 have no objection in case the

petition is allowed.

Consequently, this petition is allowed and impugned order is modified to the extent as stated above.

All pending application(s), if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

August 23, 2022
sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	No