

111

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-20371-2021

Date of Decision: 17.05.2022

Kailash Sharma

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Brijesh Nandan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner orally requests that the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Amritsar, who is the competent authority to deal with representation dated 08.11.2013 (Annexure P-1), filed by the petitioner for redressal of his grievance, as raised in the present petition, may kindly be impleaded as respondent No.4 in place of The President, Municipal Corporation, Amritsar.

On oral request of learned counsel for the petitioner, the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Amritsar, is arrayed as respondent No.4 in place of The President, Municipal Corporation, Amritsar.

Further, learned counsel for the petitioner argues that as the petitioner has not been granted the benefit of Assured Career Progression Scheme by the respondents, after rendering nine years of service with the respondent-Department, though she was fully eligible for the grant of said benefit, therefore, the respondents are liable to be directed to extend the said benefit to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the same grievance has also been raised by the petitioner before respondent No.4 by filing representation dated 08.11.2013 (Annexure P-1), followed by the reminders, which are annexed as Annexures P-2 to P-6 with the present petition, but the said representation dated 08.11.2013 is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage, in case a time bound direction is given to respondent No.4 to decide the said representation dated 08.11.2013, by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Monica Chhibber Sharma, Senior DAG, Punjab, keeping in view the advance service of the copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of the State and raises no objection, in case prayer made hereinbefore on behalf of the petitioner for deciding the representation dated 08.11.2013 (Annexure P-1) in a time bound manner is accepted.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of this case and without going into merits of the claim as raised by the petitioner in the present case as well as in the representation dated 08.11.2013 (Annexure P-1), this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4 to pass an appropriate speaking order on the representation dated 08.11.2013 (Annexure P-1), filed by the petitioner, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the copy of this order.

17.05.2022

Apurva

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
2. Whether reportable : No