

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

210 (2)

CRM-M-26689-2021 (O&M)

Date of decision: 06.04.2022

ANITA RANI @ NITTU

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Mr. Kartik Sandal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, Asstt. A.G. Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No. 398 dated 25.10.2020 (Annexure P-2) under Sections 148, 149, 302 and 506 of the IPC registered at Police Station Sadar, District Fatehabad.

After arguing the matter at length, the learned counsel for the petitioner was confronted with the fact that as against a total of 25 witnesses pointed out by the prosecution, 18 witnesses have already been examined and that the case is fixed for 26.04.2022, when four more witnesses have been summoned for examination and that the trial is at the fag end.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner does not press the instant petition but requests that the trial Court may be directed to conclude the prosecution evidence expeditiously and preferably within a period of two months.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State undertakes that prosecution shall complete its entire evidence within a period of two months from the date next fixed for recording of evidence of prosecution.

In view of the statements so made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State, the instant petition is dismissed as not pressed at this stage.

The State shall however remain bound by the statement and the respondent-State shall be obligated to lead its entire evidence within the aforementioned time period as undertaken by it.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

APRIL 06, 2022
Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No