

114

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-43985-2017 (O&M)

Date of decision:07.02.2022

VED BHUSHAN BALI

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Abhiraj Singh Baweja, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab.

(Through Video Conferencing)

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

CRM-2941-2022

The present application has been filed for preponing the date of hearing of main case.

For the reasons recorded in the application, the application is allowed. Main case is taken up today.

Main case

1. After arguing for sometime, the learned counsel for the petitioner is agreeable to a direction being pronounced, upon the learned trial Judge concerned, to expeditiously decide the trial arising from FIR No.12 of 19.07.2014, registered at Police Station NRI-PS Hoshiarpur.

2. The above order becomes necessitated, as the learned State counsel, has made a statement, that after the completion of recording of evidence of prosecution witnesses, the recording of the deposition(s) of defence witnesses, has commenced.

3. Consequently, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn.
4. As prayed for, by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned, is directed to conclude the trial arising from FIR (supra), preferably within three months hereafter.
5. With the afore observation, the petition is disposed of.
6. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stand(s), disposed of.

07.02.2022

ithlesh

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
<i>Whether reportable:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>