

[225] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.1734 of 2019
Date of Decision :22.09.2022

Madan Lal and others ...Petitioners

versus

Parth Gupta, Secretary, HSSC,
Panchkula, ChandigarhRespondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : None for the petitioners.

Mr. Manish Dadwal, Asstt. AG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the instant petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-2, dated 30.11.2017, in CWP No.27231 of 2017 in case titled as '**Madan Lal and others** versus **Haryana Staff Selection Commission**'.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-2) reveals that CWP No.27231 of 2017 was allowed in the same terms as in CWP No.18921 of 2017 and other connected matters and directions were issued that marks for experience, as per the criteria laid down by the respondent-Commission itself, being invariable marks they should be added to the marks obtained in the written examination and thereafter persons/candidates be called for interviews upto twice the number of posts advertised.

[3] Learned Asstt. AG, Haryana, states that order (Annexure P-2) was set aside by Hon'ble the Division Bench in LPA No.1386 of 2019 decided on 11.05.2022 and the writ petition was dismissed. Learned Asstt. AG, Haryana, states that in the circumstances, no action is called for in the instant petition.

[4] None is present on behalf of the petitioners.

[5] The judgment dated 11.05.2022 in LPA No.1386 of 2019 and connected matter, is taken on record.

[6] In the circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petition pending. In view of the position noted above, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

22.09.2022
'Rajneesh'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>