

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

280

CRM-M-26696-2021

Date of Decision : May 09, 2022

GAGANDEEP SINGH ARORA

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present : Mr. Sidhant Vermani, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Randhir Singh Thind, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. H.S.Randhawa, Advocate for
Mr. P.S.Ahluwalia, Advocate
for respondents No.4 and 5.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI. J. (Oral)

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the present petition has become infructuous because the prayer in the petition is for quashing of notice under Section 91 Cr.P.C. and now the enquiry itself has been completed and, therefore, the present petition may be dismissed as having become infructuous.

The learned counsel for the respondents No.4 and 5 and the learned State counsel do not dispute the aforesaid factual position.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of as having become infructuous.

**(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE**

May 09, 2022

ajay-1

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No