

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.246

**CRM-M No.23989 of 2022
Date of Decision: 30.08.2022**

Sant Ram

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Abhishek Sindhvani, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amrik Narwal, DAG, Haryana
for all the respondents.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

Status-report submitted on behalf of the respondents, by way of the affidavit of Superintendent of Police, Jind, along-with Annexure R-1 and its vernacular version, is already available on the file and these documents are taken on the record.

However, after arguing for a while, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition while submitting that the petitioner would avail the appropriate, alternative and efficacious remedy, as may be permissible to him under law, to seek the redressal of his grievance, as set-forth in this petition.

Learned State counsel has no objection for the same.

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn accordingly.

30.08.2022
neetu

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No