

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

237

CRM-M-26574-2021

Date of Decision: 23.03.2022

JASPAL SINGH

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Arjun Dhingra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Deepshikha Chauhan, AAG, Haryana.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

Instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.147 dated 17.11.2019 under Sections 406 and 498-A of IPC, 1860, registered at Police Station Amloh, District Fatehgarh Sahib, Annexure P-1, alongwith all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 23.12.2019, Annexure P-2, arrived at between the parties.

Mr. Sahil Goyal, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 and has filed his Vakalatnama in Court, which is taken on record.

State has been wrongly described in the Memo of Parties and even though, this Court vide order dated 31.08.2021 granted time to the petitioner to make the rectification, but he has not done so.

An affidavit dated 13.09.2021 has been filed by the complainant/respondent No.2, relevant extract of which is reproduced as under:-

“3. That on 23.12.2019, the Investigating Officer Major Singh now retired who was earlier investigating the present Case at the behest of the Petitioner pressurized the complainant and her family to enter into compromise with the Petitioner. Therefore, they got the signatures of the deponent on the compromise and on the affidavit appended with the Petition Fraudulently and dishonestly in order to favour the Petitioner in the premises of Municipal Committee, Amlah opposite to Police station Amlah.

4. That further the alleged compromise was written by the Petitioner in order to save his skin from the present FIR. It is pertinent to mention here that even the Investigating agency has not arrested the Petitioner in the present Case nor the Present Petitioner has obtained bail from any competent Court of Law. Further the Investigating agency has not completed the investigation despite lodging of the present FIR under Section 406/498-A IPC which are cognizable offence.”

Faced with the above, counsel for the petitioner seeks and is granted permission to withdraw the petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

23.03.2022
sheetal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No