

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.44664 of 2018
Date of Decision: 15.09.2022**

Rajinder Singh

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Dushyant Rana, Advocate, appearing for
Mr. Sumit Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, AAG, Punjab
for respondents No.1 to 3.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(Oral)

Today, learned State counsel, on the instructions from ASI Raghbir Singh from Police Station Dera Baba Nanak, District Batala, apprises the Court that the investigation has already been concluded in the criminal case arisen out of the FIR bearing No.123 dated 18.09.2018 registered at the afore-said Police Station, under Sections 341, 506, 148 and 149 IPC (wherein the offence under Section 452 IPC is stated to have been added later-on) and the Challan has been presented before the competent Court and the charges have also been framed against the accused in the said case.

2. At this stage, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, as

per the instructions from the arguing counsel, submits that in view of the above-discussed submission as made by learned State counsel, the present petition has become infructuous so far as it pertains to the prayer of the petitioner regarding the issuance of direction to respondents No.1 to 3 for conducting investigation in the said case and as regards the prayer made by the petitioner qua the protection of his life and liberty, the petitioner would move a fresh representation to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Police District Batala, District Gurdaspur, exclusively praying therein for the afore-said protection only and he further prays that respondent No.2 be directed to look into and take appropriate action on the said representation within some specific time frame.

3. Learned State counsel has no objection to the above-discussed submission as well as the prayer as made by learned counsel appearing for the petitioner.

4. Resultantly, the petition in hand stands partly disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, to the extent of the prayer of the petitioner regarding the issuance of direction to respondents No.1 to 3 for conducting the investigation in the afore-mentioned case and as regards the relief qua the protection of life and liberty of the petitioner, this petition stands partly disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 that in case the petitioner moves any fresh representation to him restricting his prayer therein to the protection of his life and liberty only, he shall look into the same and in case the petitioner would be found to be genuinely deserving the said protection, then he would take appropriate action, in accordance

with law, in the given set of the facts and circumstances of the matter,
preferably within a period of two weeks.

September 15, 2022
Yag Dutt

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No