

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr. No. 202)

**CRM-M No. 24323 of 2022
Date of decision : 17.10.2022**

Padamveer Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present : Mr. G.S. Dhillon, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Navneet Singh, Senior DAG, Punjab.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 0043 dated 03.05.2022 registered under Sections 323 and 354-B IPC at Police Station Sadar Dhuri, district Sangrur.

On 30.05.2022, this Court had passed the following order : -

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter-alia contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case at the behest of the complainant as recently on 09.04.2022 a DDR was got registered at the instance of the petitioner against husband and son of the complainant in which he had alleged that they had given him beatings; the present FIR is a counter-blast to the aforesaid DDR got lodged by the petitioner against the complainant's family members; the petitioner has no other criminal case pending against him; the allegations with regard to outraging the modesty of the complainant are absolutely false with no evidence to back them and that the petitioner is also ready and willing to join and cooperate with the investigation.

Notice of motion.

Ms.Gunkirat Kaur, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and Mr.Tanvir Singh Grewal, Advocate puts in appearance on behalf of the complainant.

For arguments, adjourned to 20.09.2022.

In the meanwhile subject to the petitioner's joining investigation as and when called by the investigating agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C, in the event of his arrest in FIR No.43, dated 03.05.2022, registered under Sections 323, 354-B IPC at Police Station Sadar Sangrur, District Sangrur, he shall be released on ad interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer.

Before the adjourned date in response to the afore submissions as also the averments made in the petition the State shall file a status report after consideration of which further orders shall be passed in the instant petition.”

Learned State counsel submits that under the afore-quoted order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined and cooperated with the investigation; investigation in the case is complete and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

After considering the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner in the afore-quoted order and the statement made on behalf of the State, the interim order of this Court dated 30.05.2022 granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is ordered to be made absolute.

17.10.2022

sunil yadav

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No