

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.

105

CRM-M-24286-2022

Date of Decision: 30.05.2022

AJAYVIR SINGH ALIAS AJAYVIR AND OTHERSPETITIONERS

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHERRESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr.Baljeet Beniwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. P.P.Chahar, DAG, Haryana.

Mr.Sudhir Rana, Advocate
for respondent No. 2

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., whereins the petitioners seek the indulgence of anticipatory bail.
2. In FIR bearing No.359 of 14.07.2021, registered at Police Station Palla, District Faridabad, offences constituted under Sections 420, 448, 467, 468, 471, 506, 511 and 120-B IPC, are embodied.
3. Learned Counsel for the petitioners, and, the learned Counsel, for the respondent-victim, have jointly submitted before this court, that a compromise in respect of the petition offences, has been arrived at amongst them, and, in this regard, a petition for quashing has been instituted.
4. Therefore, this Court does not deem it fit, and, appropriate to

order for the custodial interrogation of the petitioners, as it would unnecessarily fetter, and, curtail their personal liberty.

5. Moreover, also when, at this stage, no evidence is adduced before this Court by the prosecution, suggestive of the fact, that in the event of the petitioners, becoming admitted to anticipatory bail, there is every likelihood of their fleeing from justice, and, tampering with the prosecution evidence. In consequence, this Court becomes constrained to admit the present petitioners to anticipatory bail.

6. Consequently, the bail applicant-petitioners are admitted to anticipatory bail, and, in the event of their arrest, they may not be arrested by the investigating officer concerned. However, subject to the bail applicant-petitioners furnishing personal, and, surety bonds in the sum of Rs. 50,000/- each, to the satisfaction of the arresting officer. Furthermore, the bail applicant-petitioners shall also give an undertaking before the arresting officer, that as and when they are summoned through a written Hukamnama, they shall ensure theirs rendering cooperation to the investigating officer.

7. Disposed of.

8. The afore observations are meant only for the disposal of the present petition, and, shall not affect the merits of the trial arising from the FIR (supra).

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

May 30, 2022
Kavneet Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No