

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No. 204

CRM-M-25076-2022

Date of decision : 31.08.2022

Krishan Singh

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr.S.K.Chaudhary, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.Navneet Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

Through the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in FIR No.267 dated 28.12.2021 registered under Sections 498-A and 406 IPC at Police Station Civil Lines, Batala, District Batala.

On 02.06.2022 this Court had passed the following order: -

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter-alia contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case on account of a matrimonial discord between the petitioner and the complainant; there is no other criminal case pending against the petitioner; the marriage of the petitioner and the complainant took place 06 years ago and during this period no complaint has been filed by the complainant with regard to demand of dowry or harassment/beatings; there is no medical evidence to support the allegations of beating showing falsity in the same; the petitioner is ready and willing to return all admitted articles of dowry; the petitioner is also ready and willing to amicably resolve the matrimonial dispute with the complainant and that the petitioner shall join and cooperate with the investigation.

Notice of motion.

Ms.Gunkirat Kaur, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

For arguments, adjourned to 31.08.2022.

In the meanwhile subject to the petitioner's joining investigation as and when called by the investigating agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C, in the event of his arrest in FIR No.267, dated 28.12.2021, registered under Sections 498-A, 406 IPC at Police Station Civil Lines Batala, District Batala, he shall be released on ad interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer.”

Learned State counsel submits that in pursuance to the afore quoted order the petitioner has joined and cooperated with the investigation and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

After considering the above submissions made on behalf of the petitioner as recorded in the afore quoted order and the afore-referred statement made by learned State counsel, the order of this Court dated 02.06.2022, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is ordered to be made absolute.

31.08.2022

shamsher

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No

[DEEPAK SIBAL]

JUDGE