

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-24062-2022

Date of decision:-19.7.2022

Ranadeep Ghoshal

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present : Mr.Shailender Kashyap, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Brijesh Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

H.S. MADAAN, J.(ORAL)

1. This second petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for pre-arrest bail has been filed by the petitioner Ranadeep Ghoshal, an accused in FIR No.17 dated 10.1.2020 under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468 and 471 read with Section 120-B IPC, registered with Police Station DLF, District Gurugram. The first petition filed by the petitioner along with other co-accused bearing CRM-M-9192-2020 and similar other petition bearing CRM-M-24354-2020 filed by other co-accused, namely Prateek Nepalia, had been dismissed vide a detailed order dated 7.1.2021.

2. Hon'ble Apex Court in a recent judgment **G.R. Ananda Babu Versus The State of Tamil Nadu & Anr.** arising out of SLP(Crl.)

No.213 of 2021 has observed as under:

“As a matter of fact, successive anticipatory bail applications ought not to be entertained and more so, when the case diary and the status report, clearly indicated that the accused (respondent No.2) is absconding and not cooperating with the investigation. The specious reason of change in circumstances cannot be invoked for successive anticipatory bail applications, once it is rejected by a speaking order and that too by the same Judge.”

3. Therefore, the present petition is not maintainable and stands dismissed accordingly.

4. It is surprising that despite FIR having been registered against the petitioner on 10.1.2020 and his petition for pre-arrest bail having been dismissed by the Court of Sessions and by this Court on 7.1.2021, more than 1 ½ years ago, the petitioner is still roaming about freely and has not been arrested by the local police.

5. Accordingly, Commissioner of Police, Gurugram is directed to ensure that petitioner is arrested at the earliest. A direction be conveyed to him through the State counsel and this Court be informed in that regard.

A copy of this order be supplied to the State counsel to enable him to take up the matter with Commissioner of Police, Gurugram for necessary compliance.

(H.S.MADAAN)
JUDGE

19.7.2022

Brij

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No