

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-24283-2022

Date of Decision :- 4.7.2022

Ravinder

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr.Ankur Lal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

H.S.MADAAN, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition is mentioned to be Ist petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C., whereas as per report of the Registry, the petitioner had filed a petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. earlier bearing No.CRM-M-42992-2020, which was decided by this Court on 18.12.2020.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that this fact was not disclosed to him by his client and he has expressed regrets for mentioning it to be Ist petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. He prays that he be permitted to withdraw the present petition at this stage.

Permission is granted.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

4.7.2022

Brij

Whether reasoned/speaking :

Whether reportable :

Yes/No

Yes/No

**(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE**