

CRM-M-44087-2018

Date of decision: 23.03.2022

DEEPINDER AND ANR.

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

ANUJ MOHAN KUMAR

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Vivek Goyal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Atul Goyal, Advocate
for the respondent.

*(The case has been taken up through video conferencing on
account of Covid-19 Pandemic).*

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners have assailed the order dated 20.08.2018 passed by the Court of Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Kurukshetra vide which the maintenance has been declined on the ground that a petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C was not sustainable on the ground of jurisdiction.

Learned counsel for the respondent contends that subsequent to the disposal of the petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C at Kurukshetra, the petitioners have already instituted a fresh petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C at Ghaziabad and the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

The petitioners shall be at liberty to seek revival of the petition within three months, in the event, the assertions as projected hereinabove are found to be factually incorrect.

23.03.2022

renubala

(VIVEK PURI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No