

106-A

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-11810-2022
Date of decision: 27.05.2022

SUNITA KUMARI

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Raman Chawla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

-. -

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has approached this Court by way of filing the present writ petition seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus to be issued to respondent No.4 to enter name of the petitioner in the register of Haryana State Pharmacy Council and to issue licence to the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that the petitioner has done her Diploma in Pharmacy from Shridhar University, Rajasthan and the said University is duly recognized by the Pharmacy Council of India. It is submitted that online registration had been applied for, along with requisite fee but the same has not been accepted as on date. It is further submitted that in similar matters, this Court in *Sajid Khan and another Vs. State of Haryana and others*, CWP No.1881 of 2022 decided on 03.02.2022 and in *Warish Vs. State of Haryana*, CWP No.21604 of 2021 decided on 27.10.2021, had permitted the petitioners therein to submit the

requisite fee through demand draft as well as the affidavit giving details of the institutions where the petitioners had studied and acquired the pharmacy degree and directed respondents No.2 & 3 therein to register them on the roll of the Council and to issue necessary certificates, in case their degrees are found to be genuine.

3. Counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner has submitted representation dated 15.04.2022 (Annexure P-7) to respondent No.4 ventilating her grievance but no action has been taken thereon so far. It is further submitted that the petitioner would be satisfied if the representation submitted by her is considered and decided by respondent No.4 in a time bound manner, in terms of the judgments passed by this Court in the cases of *Sajid Khan* and *Waris*, as referred to above.

4. Notice of motion to respondents No.1, 3 & 4 only.

5. Ms. Kirti Singh, DAG, Haryana and Mr. Gopal Sharma, Advocate who are present in Court, accept notice for respondent No.1 and respondent Nos.3 & 4 respectively. Learned counsel appearing for respondent Nos.3 & 4 submits that respondent No.4 will look into the matter and take a decision in accordance with law. Let sufficient number of copies of the complete paper book be supplied the counsel appearing for the respondents during the course of day.

6. Without commenting on merits of the case, the instant petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.4 to consider the representation dated 15.04.2022 (Annexure P-7) submitted on behalf of the petitioner and take a decision thereon, in terms of judgments passed by this Court in the cases of *Sajid Khan* and *Waris*, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and convey the result thereof to the

petitioner, failing which the officer responsible for the lapse would be liable to deposit costs of Rs.20,000/- from his personal pocket with the Punjab and Haryana High Court Advocates Welfare Fund.

27.05.2022
Chetan Thakur

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No