

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(203)

CRM-M-24162-2022

Date of decision: - 25.07.2022

Sandeep

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Anirudh Singh Shera, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Malik, DAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is the first petition under Section 438 of Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.97 dated 09.05.2022, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 452 and 506 IPC (Sections 325 and 308 IPC have been added later on), registered at Police Station Moohana, District Sonipat.

On 30.05.2022, this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the present case is a case of version and cross version and the petitioner has not been attributed any specific injury and has further submitted that there are four persons who are injured from the side of the present petitioner and even the grievous injury caused to the complainant has not been attributed to the present petitioner.

Notice of motion for 25.07.2022.

Meanwhile, petitioner is directed to join the investigation. In

the event of arrest, petitioner shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer. The petitioner will join the investigation as and when called for and shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in pursuance of the above-said order, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Anil, has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further investigation.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances moreso, the facts which have been noticed in abovesaid order dated 30.05.2022 and also the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further custodial interrogation, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 30.05.2022 is ordered to be made absolute.

However, nothing stated above shall be construed as a final expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail application.

July 25, 2022
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No