

Krishan Kumar Dwivedi

...Petitioner

versus

Anu Sheokand

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Gaurav Bakshi, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Arvind Seth, Advocate for the respondent.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 29.09.2016, in CWP-20372-2016.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P-1 dated 29.09.2016, reveals that CWP-20372-2016, was disposed of by directing the respondent to take a decision on legal notice dated 16.05.2016, in accordance with law, by passing a speaking order, after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

3. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to notice to the respondent, claim of the petitioner as contained in legal notice dated 16.05.2016, has been decided and rejected and the petitioner has already challenged the rejection order dated 16.09.2019, by way of CWP-9409-2021, therefore, in the circumstances, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the instant petition and the same may be permitted to be withdrawn while granting liberty to the petitioner to

pursue CWP-9409-2021, in accordance with law.

4. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent(s) under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

5. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

12.07.2022

rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No