

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-6245-2021(O&M)
Date of decision: 17.01.2022

Shital Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Ravi Malhotra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG Punjab.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

This petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing respondents No. 1 and 2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondents No. 3 to 8.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that SHO, Police Station Patara, District Jalandhar-respondent No. 2 has been harassing the petitioner on the behest of Neelam Rani-respondent No. 6, who is the Sarpanch of village Jethpur, Tehsil and District Jalandhar.

Vide order dated 10.08.2021, the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar (Rural) was directed to file an affidavit regarding the activities of SHO, Police Station Patara, District Jalandhar-respondent No. 2, dealing with the present case.

In compliance to the aforesaid order, the affidavit dated 24.09.2021 of by the Assistant Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division Adampur, Jalandhar (Rural) is on record. As per the affidavit, respondent No. 6 was not present in the village from 11.06.2021 to 14.06.2021. Moreover, the statement of petitioner was also recorded on 01.07.2021, wherein he has specifically stated that he has moved a false complaint against Sarpanch- Neelam Rani, (respondent No. 6) and her husband Lakhvir Chand and another handicapped person Jaswant Singh @ Jassa and tendered apology.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not want to press the present petition.

In view of the above, the present petitioner is dismissed being not pressed.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

17.01.2022
Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No