

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

206

CRM-M-23683-2022

Date of Decision: 26.08.2022

Amandeep Singh @ Amna

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. P.K.S.Phoolka, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, DAG, Punjab,
assisted by ASI Jaswant Singh.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has approached this Court seeking grant of anticipatory bail in respect of a case registered vide FIR No.61 dated 30.4.2022, Police Station Nathana, District Bathinda, under Sections 307, 341, 506, 148, 149 of Indian Penal Code and Sections 25, 27 of Arms Act.
2. At the time of issuance of notice of motion on 27.05.2022, the following order was passed:

“The petitioner has approached this Court seeking grant of anticipatory bail in respect of a case registered vide FIR No.61 dated 30.4.2022, Police Station Nathana, District Bathinda, under Sections 307, 341, 506, 148, 149 of Indian Penal Code and Sections 25, 27 of Arms Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the FIR came to be lodged under some misunderstanding and that the matter has since been amicably resolved and compromised amongst the parties.

Notice of motion for 26.8.2022.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety

bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Arresting/Investigating Officer and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

It is clarified that the aforesaid interim relief is being granted keeping in view the contention made by learned counsel for the petitioner as regards compromise.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the instant FIR came to be lodged under some misunderstanding and that it is a case where no injury has been sustained by anybody and that the matter has since been amicably resolved and compromised amongst the parties.
4. Learned State counsel upon instructions has not disputed the factum of compromise. It has been informed that pursuant to interim directions issued by this Court, the petitioner has since joined investigation and is not required for any custodial interrogation.
4. Having regard to the aforestated position, wherein the matter is stated to be amicably resolved amongst the parties and the petitioner is also stated to have joined investigation and is not required for any custodial interrogation, the petition is accepted and the interim directions issued by this Court vide order dated 27.05.2022 are hereby made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

26.08.2022

Vimal

**(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: **Yes/No**
Whether reportable: **Yes/No**