

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

125

CRWP- 5225 of 2022

Date of Decision:30.05.2022

Reeta Devi and another

---Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

---Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. M.K.Garg, Advocate for
Mr. Ashish Pundhir, Advocate
for the petitioners

Mr. Karanbir Singh, AAG, Punjab

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners namely Reeta Devi and Vishal have approached this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for seeking protection of their lives and personal liberty from private respondents No.4 and 5.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners had entered into a marriage out of their free will and the marriage in question was solemnized on 26.04.2022. He further submits that a petition (Protection Petition No. 113 of 2022) seeking protection had already been filed before the Sessions Judge, Karnal which was disposed of vide order of even date directing the concerned SHO to take appropriate steps for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners. He contends that the said order had already been furnished to the concerned S.H.O., however, despite the same, the family members of petitioner No. 1 have been interfering in the matrimonial life of the petitioners and extending threats. He submits

that they even entered in the house of the petitioners and gave beatings to the father of petitioner No. 2. The concerned SHO did not take any steps to ensure protection of the life and liberty of the petitioners.

Since an order for protection had already been passed by the Sessions Judge, Karnal directing the concerned SHO to take necessary steps for ensuring protection of life and liberty of the petitioners, it is expected that an act of intimidation by any person is sought to be suitably redressed.

However, as the order for protection has already been passed by the Sessions Judge on 26.04.2022, there is no occasion for passing a fresh order for extension of the same relief that already stands awarded in their favour. The counsel for the petitioners, thus, seeks withdrawal of the petition with liberty to take recourse to appropriate remedy available in accordance with law for seeking enforcement of the order already passed by the Sessions Judge, Karnal.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

30.05.2022

PARAMJIT

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : Yes/No