

153

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

\*\*\*\*

**CWP-11797-2022**

**Date of Decision: 27.05.2022**

Nanu Ram

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Dr. Suresh Kumar Redhu, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*\*

**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)**

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner was promoted to the post of Clerk from a Class IV Post, vide order dated 23.02.2018 (Annexure P-5), but with a condition of passing the requisite type test, in order to make himself eligible for the grant of increment of the said promoted post. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the petitioner never passed the said type test, but he had passed the Office Automation Course of three months duration from HARTRON, a copy of which certificate has been appended as Annexure P-8 with the present petition, which course has been treated equivalent to the requisite type test for the grant of increment and the employees, who have passed the said course, have been treated as exempted candidates for passing the requisite type test for the grant of increment, hence from the date of the passing of the said course, the petitioner is also entitled for the grant of increments.

Learned counsel further submits that the said benefit has not been extended to the petitioner, even though the respondents themselves

have treated the petitioner as an exempted candidate, vide the list (Annexure P-10), hence the respondents are liable to be directed to grant the increment to the petitioner for the services rendered by him after November, 2019 till his retirement, i.e. 30.11.2020.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Raman Kumar Sharma, Additional A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the State and submits that the petitioner has never approached the authorities concerned seeking release of the increments, as being claimed in the present petition, and therefore, the present petition is liable to be dismissed on this ground alone.

Learned State counsel submits that in case any such representation is filed by the petitioner before the respondents, the same will be considered in accordance with law and an appropriate speaking order will be passed by the authorities concerned within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of the copy of such representation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the submissions of learned State counsel, as recorded hereinbefore, no further grievance of the petitioner survives in the present petition at this stage and the same may kindly be disposed of as having been not pressed any further with the liberty as prayed for.

Ordered accordingly.

**27.05.2022**

*Apurva*

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**

**JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

2. Whether reportable : No