

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.108

**Civil Writ Petition No.12771 of 2022
Date of Decision : June 02, 2022**

Manohar Lal Sehgal

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Sukhwinder Singh Chatrath, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

It is submitted that pendency of an FIR is no ground to refuse re-issuance of passport. Thus, a direction be issued to the passport authorities to decide the case of the petitioner by keeping in view the law laid down in *Sahib Jaskaran Singh vs. Union of India and others, 2016, (2) RCR (Criminal) 798*.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Ankur Sharma, Advocate for Mr. Arihant Goyal, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 & 2 and waives service.

Mr. Arun K. Kaundal, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.3 and waives service.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, no reply is necessary.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the case of the petitioner by passing a speaking order keeping in view the judgment passed in *Sahib Jaskaran Singh (supra)* within eight weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order .

June 02, 2022

Ankur

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No