

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.135

**Civil Writ Petition No. 11787 of 2022
Date of Decision : May 27, 2022**

Asha Rani and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Manvinder Sidhu, Advocate, for the petitioners.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are aggrieved because a minor being used to irrigate their land as well as passage thereto have fallen into the land owned by the private parties. Despite demand alternate passage has not been provided nor have they been permitted to create an alternative irrigation channel.

For the aforementioned reliefs, filing of a writ petition is not the appropriate remedy.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioners wishes to withdraw this writ petition with liberty to approach the competent authorities.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforementioned liberty.

May 27, 2022

Ankur

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No