

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

114

CRWP-5145-2022

Date of Decision: 27.05.2022

AARTI AND ANOTHER

.....PETITIONERS

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Vikas Nagra, Advocate
for Ms.Mala Rani, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. P.P.Chahar, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Amandeep Singh Manaise, Advocate
for respondents No. 4 and 5

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus hence directing respondents No. 2 and 3 to give protection to the life and liberty of the petitioners, and also against any interference in the peaceful life of the petitioners being made at the behest of respondents No. 4 and 5.

2. The learned State Counsel, does not have any objection, to an order being made by this Court to the respondents concerned, to look into and decide through a speaking order, representation Annexure P-5.

3. Consequently, this Court directs the respondents concerned, to within three weeks hereafter, hence decide Annexure P-5, through a speaking order. However, subject to the convenience of the petitioners, the parents of petitioner No. 1, be permitted to make visitations upon her, at the abode, where

the petitioners are presently residing. Moreover, co-petitioner No. 1, may also choose to engage herself in making contacts with her parents, through video conferencing or through, any other electronic mode.

4. Petition is disposed of.
5. A copy of this order be given dasti on payment of usual charges.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

May 27, 2022
kavneet singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No