

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

247

CRM-M-43560-2018

Date of Decision:12.05.2022

Gurmej Singh @ Jarnej Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Brahmeet Singh, Advocate for
Mr. L.S. Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Sitta, Asst. AG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

As per report of the Registry, notice issued to respondent No.2-
Darshan Kumar, has been received back served.

Despite service, none has put in appearance on behalf of
respondent No.2.

Learned State counsel submits that the charges have been
framed on 10.12.2018.

Given above, the present petition has become infructuous.

Consequently, the present petition filed for quashing of the
FIR, is disposed of as having rendered infructuous. However, in case the
petitioner chooses to file a petition against framing of charges, the time of
pendency of the present petition shall not be counted for that purpose.

Ordered accordingly.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

12.05.2022

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No